



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/617,065	07/13/2000	Norman Understein	2802-5 (AMK)	7218

23117 7590 09/16/2011  
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC  
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR  
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER
----------

GREENE, DANIEL LAWSON

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3667

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/16/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/617,065	UNDERSTEIN, NORMAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL L. GREENE	3667	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2011.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on \_\_\_\_; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's 6/23/2011 response to the previous 3/30/2011 Non-Final Office action has been considered and entered.
2. Claims 1-8 are pending and have been examined on the merits as set forth below.
3. The earliest effective filing date is May 10, 1999 (19990510).

### ***Response to Amendments/Arguments***

4. Applicant's amendment to claim 8 has been considered and entered. The rejection of claim 8 set forth in section 6 (i.e. 35 USC 101) of said previous Office action has been withdrawn.
5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-10, filed 6/23/2011, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3 and 5-8 under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bezy, Scully and U.S. Patent 5,483,445 to Pickering et al.
6. Page 13, line 23 through Page 14 line 1 of the specification as filed teaches:

“Although the invention is described in accordance with an application to an auction process, the system could readily be implemented into any transaction where qualification is desirable.”

Therefor the claimed limitation “qualifying system” connotes more than just an “auction” and includes other financial transactions "where qualification is desirable", such as those set forth within Bezy.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

**8. Claims 1, 3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,703,344 to Bezy et al. (Bezy) and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,483,445 to Pickering.**

**Regarding claims 1, 7 and 8** Bezy teaches a system to effect the method embodied on a non-transitory computer readable medium of qualifying a participant over a global network in a transaction requiring a transfer of funds from the participant using a qualifying system, the method comprising:

(a) the participant establishing a consumer funding account by depositing funds in the consumer funding account, the consumer funding account to be administered by the qualifying system in, for example, Col. 3, lines 17-37, especially lines 35-37 "...a deposit into an account controlled by the payee and maintained by a solvent...financial institution.";

(b) the participant entering a transaction requiring a transfer of funds from the participant to a vendor in for example, Col. 3, lines 40-44;

(c) the qualifying system reserving a portion of the funds deposited in the consumer funding account according to a transaction parameter determined by at least one of the qualifying system, the participant or the vendor in for example, Col. 3, lines

Art Unit: 3667

55+, especially lines 63-65 "...place a hold in real-time on the funds necessary to cover the draft...",

wherein an available balance in the consumer funding account consists of an amount of unreserved funds from the funds deposited in the consumer funding account in for example, Col. 5, lines 11-19, etc; and

(d) the qualifying system qualifying the participant for the transaction if the consumer funding account satisfies conditions of the transaction parameter.

Although it is considered that Bezy discloses the newly added limitation "...accessing the qualifying system..." because, for example, the act of presenting the check to the Point of Sale (POS) causes the participant to access the qualifying system by nature of the check presented, Bezy does not appear to expressly disclose "accessing a qualifying system" by, for example, logging (accessing) into a banks website (qualifying system).

Pickering teaches in, for example, Col. 3, line 59 through Col. 4 line 36 that it is known in the financial art of bill payment to utilize a computer to access a qualifying system to make payments. See also Fig. 3 and Col. 8 lines 1-19 wherein "Remittance may be accomplished by check, direct debt, pay-by-phone or electronic transfer via computer." Pickering teaches that each of these methods are synonymous means of effecting financial transactions.

Accordingly the prior art references teach all of the claimed elements.

The combination of the known elements is achieved by a known method of remitting funds.

Art Unit: 3667

Furthermore, all the claimed elements would continue to operate in the same manner, Specifically, funds would be transferred in Bezy.

Based upon the above findings, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Pickering with the system of Bezy to allow the remittance of funds via computer. Per Pickering's teachings, such remittance would require access to the computer and financial institution (qualifying system) in order to determine which bills and how much to pay.

**Regarding claim 3** and the limitation wherein the transaction parameter is an amount of funds required to complete the transaction see for example, Col. 5 lines 48-59, "...the amount...".

**Regarding claim 5** and the limitation further comprising releasing the reserved portion of the consumer funding account when the transaction is completed see for example, Col 5, lines 11-19, "A hold is removed when a draft corresponding to the hold is presented for clearing."

**Regarding claim 6** and the limitation wherein step (c) is practiced by querying a network website server that maintains the consumer funding account see for example, Figures 1 and 2, items 130, S3, Col. 4, lines 14-30, Col. 5, lines 1-10, etc. wherein TCP/IP protocols are set forth and it is understood that "many variations of the invention will become apparent to those of skill in the art". That is, the invention is applicable to website servers and personal computing devices as these are all merely extensions of the computer network.

**9. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,703,344 to Bezy et al. in view of Pickering as applied to claims 1, 3 and 5-8 above and further in view of U.S. Patent 3,147,028 to Scully issued in 1964.**

**Regarding claims 2 and 4,** Bezy discloses the application as claimed and explained above.

Although Bezy teaches reserving a portion of a consumer funding account and calculating whether there are sufficient or insufficient funds for said account, Bezy does not appear to expressly disclose the old and well known practice of reserving a first portion of the funds deposited in the consumer funding account corresponding to a “required deposit” or incorporating this amount into a total reserved portion of an account. However, Bezy does teach that the available balance is the amount not already reserved for some purpose.

Within the art of maintaining checking accounts, Scully teaches it is notoriously old and well known for “special checking accounts” to require a minimum balance in, for example, Col. 1, lines 10-25 and to take into account these amounts “to correct errors in the depositors book-keeping” and promote “accurate book-keeping”. The Examiner construes a minimum balance to connote the claimed “required deposit” because, for example, the “special checking account” could not be opened without the required minimum balance which would be provided by a “minimum deposit”.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bezy with the old and well known practice of keeping

Art Unit: 3667

track of minimum balances (or minimum deposits) as taught by Sully. Such a modification provides Bezy with an “accurate total amount of funds available” from any specific account that has minimum balance requirements in order to maintain or create said account because said minimum account balance must remain within the account in order to maintain its status and therefore it is reserved and must remain so.

Accordingly, Bezy as modified by Scully above teaches step (c) the qualifying system reserving a portion of the consumer funding account according to a transaction parameter determined by at least one of the qualifying system, the participant or the vendor, wherein step (c) is practiced by reserving a first portion of the funds deposited in the consumer funding account **corresponding to a required deposit** (minimum account balance) and reserving a second portion of the funds deposited in the consumer funding account corresponding to an amount of funds required to complete the transaction, wherein an available balance in the consumer funding account consists of an amount of unreserved funds from the funds deposited in the consumer funding account.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period



Art Unit: 3667

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL L. GREENE whose telephone number is (571)272-6876. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. L. G./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3667

/Mary Cheung/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3667